

Screening Report for Appropriate Assessment of proposed residential development at Newcastle, Co. Dublin

Compiled by OPENFIELD Ecological Services

Pádraic Fogarty, MSc MIEMA

for
Cairn Homes Properties Ltd.



www.openfield.ie

August 2019

Introduction

Biodiversity is a contraction of the words 'biological diversity' and describes the enormous variability in species, habitats and genes that exist on Earth. It provides food, building materials, fuel and clothing while maintaining clean air, water, soil fertility and the pollination of crops. A study by the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government placed the economic value of biodiversity to Ireland at €2.6 billion annually (Bullock et al., 2008) for these 'ecosystem services'.

All life depends on biodiversity and its current global decline is a major challenge facing humanity. In 1992, at the Rio Earth Summit, this challenge was recognised by the United Nations through the Convention on Biological Diversity which has since been ratified by 193 countries, including Ireland. Its goal to significantly slow down the rate of biodiversity loss on Earth has been echoed by the European Union, which set a target date of 2010 for *halting* the decline. This target was not met but in 2010 in Nagoya, Japan, governments from around the world set about redoubling their efforts and issued a strategy for 2020 called 'Living in Harmony with Nature'. In 2011 the Irish Government incorporated the goals set out in this strategy, along with its commitments to the conservation of biodiversity under national and EU law, in the second national biodiversity action plan (Dept. of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, 2011). A third plan was published in 2017.

The main legislation for conserving biodiversity in Ireland have been the Directive 2009/147//EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (Birds Directive) and Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (Habitats Directive). Among other things, these require member states to designate areas of their territory that contain important bird populations in the case of the former; or a representative sample of important or endangered habitats and species in the case of the latter. These areas are known as Special Protection Areas (SPA) and Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) respectively. Collectively they form a network of sites across the European Union known as Natura 2000. The Birds and Habitats Directives have been transposed into Irish legislation by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2015. A report into the economic benefits of the Natura 2000 network concluded that "there is a new evidence base that conserving and investing in our biodiversity makes sense for climate challenges, for saving money, for jobs, for food, water and physical security, for cultural identity, health, science and learning, and of course for biodiversity itself" (EU, 2013).

Unlike traditional nature reserves or national parks, Natura 2000 sites are not 'fenced-off' from human activity and are frequently in private ownership. It is the responsibility of the competent national authority to ensure that 'good conservation status' exists for their SPAs and SACs and specifically that Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive is met. Article 6(3) states:

Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public.

Sections 177U and 177V of the Planning and Development Act 2000 sets out the purpose of AA Screening is as follows:

A screening for appropriate assessment shall be carried out by the competent authority to assess, in view of best scientific knowledge, if that proposed development, individually or in combination with another plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on the European site.

The test at stage 1 AA Screening is that:

The competent authority shall determine that an appropriate assessment of a proposed development is required if it cannot be excluded, on the basis of objective information, that the proposed development, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on a European site.

The test at stage 2 (Appropriate Assessment) is:

Whether or not the proposed development, individually or in-combination with other plans or projects would adversely affect the integrity of a European site.

However, where this is not the case, a preliminary screening must first be carried out to determine whether or not a full AA is required. This screening is carried out by the An Bord Pleanála.

The Purpose of this document

This report has been prepared by Openfield Ecological Services for an on behalf of Cairn Homes Properties Limited to assist An Bord Pleanála carrying out the appropriate assessment screening. This document provides for the analysis of a proposed residential development at sites in Newcastle, Co. Dublin, and its potential effects in relation to Natura 2000 sites (SACs and SPAs). Under the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) all developments must be screened for AA by An Bord Pleanála. This report provides the necessary information to allow An Bord Pleanála to carry out this screening.

Methodology

The methodology for this screening statement is clearly set out in a document prepared for the Environment DG of the European Commission entitled 'Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites 'Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC' (Oxford Brookes University, 2001). Chapter 3, part 1, of the aforementioned document deals specifically with screening while Annex 2 provides the template for the screening/finding of no significant effects report matrices to be used.

In accordance with this guidance, the following methodology has been used to produce this screening statement:

Step 1: Management of the Natura 2000 site

This determines whether the project is necessary for the conservation management of the site in question.

Step 2: Description of the Project

This step describes the aspects of the project that may have an impact on the Natura 2000 site.

Step 3: Characteristics of the Natura Site

This process identifies the conservation objectives of the site and determines whether significance effects to Natura 2000 sites will arise as a result of the plan. This is done through a literature survey and consultation with relevant stakeholders – particularly the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS). All potential effects are identified including those that may act alone or in combination with other projects or plans.

Using the precautionary principle, and through consultation and a review of published data, it is normally possible to conclude at this point whether potential impacts are likely. Deficiencies in available data are also highlighted at this stage.

Step 4: Assessment of Significance

Assessing whether an effect is significant must be made in light of the conservation objectives for that SAC or SPA.

A full AA of a proposed development is required if it cannot be excluded, on the basis of objective information, that the proposed development, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on a European site.

The steps are compiled into a screening matrix, a template of which is provided in Appendix II of the EU methodology.

Reference is also made to guidelines for Local Authorities from the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG, 2009).

A full list of literature sources that have been consulted for this study is given in the References section to this report while individual references are cited within the text where relevant.

Screening Template as per Annex 2 of EU methodology:

This plan is not directly connected with, or necessary for the management of the site and thus AA Screening is required.

Step 1: Brief description of the project

It is planned to construct a residential development on the site in Newcastle to include access roads, open space and all associated infrastructure. The project is described thus, as per the planning application:

The application site comprises of a main development site of approximately 15 hectares, to the south of Main Street, together with three infill sites which comprise of a 0.80ha site at Ballynakelly; a 0.18ha site at Ballynakelly Rise and a 0.05ha site at Ballynakelly Edge.

The proposed development comprises of 406 no. dwellings comprising 8 no. one-bed apartments; 20 no. two-bed apartments; 1 no. three-bed apartments; 48 no. two-bed apartments with 48 no. three bed duplex units above; 21 no. two-bed houses; 208 no. three-bed houses; and 52 no. four-bed houses.

In addition, the proposed development provides a childcare facility (518sqm) with capacity for in the order of 110 no. children to serve the needs of the proposed development and the wider community. The proposals also include 1 no. retail units (total gross floor area 67.7sqm) at ground floor level within the Ballynakelly apartment block.

The proposed development also provides for the first phase of a new east-west link street and greenway, a continuation of Newcastle Boulevard, and a new north-south greenway linking the Main Street to the new public park. The proposed development facilitates a number of future potential pedestrian, cycle and vehicular links to existing and proposed adjoining developments. Access to the proposed development is via a new north-south link street, with a new entrance onto Main Street, and via the existing road network from Newcastle Boulevard to the east.

A primary school site (approximately 1.5ha) has been reserved at the south-east of the application site in accordance with the Newcastle LAP 2012. A new public park is proposed (approximately 2ha) together with a range of pocket parks and greenways to serve the proposed development and the wider Newcastle community.

The proposed development provides all associated and ancillary infrastructure, landscaping, boundary treatments and development works on a total site of approximately 16 hectares. The proposed development also provides for a

temporary, single storey marketing suite and associated signage (including hoarding) during the construction phase of development only.
The site location is shown in figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1 – Site location (red circle) and local water courses (from www.epa.ie). There are no Natura areas in this view.

The proposed development comprises of 406 no. dwellings comprising 8 no. one-bed apartments; 20 no. two-bed apartments; 1 no. three-bed apartments; 48 no. two-bed apartments with 48 no. three bed duplex units above; 21 no. two-bed houses; 208 no. three-bed houses; and 52 no. four-bed houses.

In addition, the proposed development provides a childcare facility (518sqm) with capacity for in the order of 110 no. children to serve the needs of the proposed development and the wider community. The proposals also include 1 no. commercial unit (total gross floor area 67.7sqm) at ground floor level within the Ballynakelly apartment block.

The proposed development also provides for the first phase of a new east-west link street, a continuation of Newcastle Boulevard, and a new north-south greenway linking the Main Street to the new public park. The proposed development facilitates a number of future potential pedestrian, cycle and vehicular links to existing and proposed adjoining developments. Access to the proposed development is via a new north-south link street, with a new entrance onto Main Street, and via the existing road network from Newcastle Boulevard to the east.

A primary school site (approximately 1.5ha) has been reserved at the south-east of the application site in accordance with the Newcastle LAP 2012. A new public park is proposed (approximately 2ha) together with a range of pocket parks and greenways to serve the proposed development and the wider Newcastle community.

The proposed development provides all associated and ancillary infrastructure, landscaping, boundary treatments and development works on a total site of approximately 16 hectares. The proposed development also provides for a temporary, single storey marketing suite and associated signage (including hoarding) during the construction phase of development only.

Site survey

A site visit was carried out on the 5th of February 2018, the 1st of June 2018 and the 2nd of February 2019. June is within the optimal season for general habitat survey it is essential for a study of this nature that linkages between the site and Natura areas be identified. In this regard a full assessment was possible. Habitats are described here in accordance with the standard Fossitt classification scheme (Fossitt, 2000). Figure 2 shows the habitat map of the site.

The site survey showed that eight broad habitat types are present in the study area. These are shown as a habitat map in figure 2. The lands were in agricultural use until relatively recently but there has been construction in some parts, while land has been cleared in preparation for construction in others. The habitats present are therefore reflective of this land use history. Roughly the land can be divided into two.

The half to the east, including the three infill sites, is **buildings and artificial surfaces – BL3** and is composed of apartment buildings and houses with roads etc. and which is already constructed and occupied (and which is largely outside the subject site boundary).

To the west of this there are large areas of disturbed ground, which are either **spoil and bare ground – ED2** or **recolonising bare ground – ED3**, depending on the degree of disturbance. The latter is approximately 50% bare earth. Elsewhere vegetation is diverse and dominated by annual or ruderal species (as would be expected), e.g. Canadian Fleabane *Conyza canadensis*, Thistles *Cirsium sp.*, Willowherbs *Epilobium sp.*, and grasses such as Creeping Bent *Agrostis capillaris*. This area includes at least two stands of the alien invasive Japanese Knotweed *Fallopia japonica*.

Remnants of traditional field boundaries are either **hedgerows – WL1** or **treelines – WL2**. These habitats can have a similar species composition however the latter is characterised by tall trees with an average height of 5m. These boundaries can be further classified into 'higher significance' or 'lower significance' in accordance with guidelines from the Heritage Council (Foulkes et al., 2013). This is based on a scoring system depending upon their age, structure and species diversity. Most of these boundaries are shown on 19th maps from the OSI and so are of significant age. Other boundaries which are of 'lower significance' include hedgerows which are predominantly composed of Brambles *Rubus fruticosus agg.* with few trees or large gaps. Higher significance boundaries, in addition to their age, tend to have a high number of trees, especially Ash *Fraxinus excelsior*, Hawthorn *Crataegus monogyna*, Elder *Sambucus nigra*, Grey Willow *Salix cinerea*, Blackthorn *Prunus spinosa*, Elm *Ulmus*, Hazel *Corylus avellana*, or Sycamore *Acer pseudoplatanus*. Ground flora can include Ground Ivy *Glechoma hederacea*, Soft-shield Fern *Polystichum setiferum*, Hart's-tongue Asplenium scolopendrium, Dog Violet *Viola riviniana*,

Lords-and-Ladies *Arum maculatum*, Wood Avens *Geum urbanum*, Cow Parsley *Anthriscus sylvestris* or Lesser Celandine *Ficaria verna*. A number of these boundaries are accompanied by **drainage ditches – FW4** which adds to their wildlife interest. Higher significance hedgerows and treelines can be considered to be of high local biodiversity value.

Other habitats include an two habitable houses and three sheds. None of the habitats is an example of those listed on Annex I of the Habitats Directive.

Site location

The site is not located within or directly adjacent to any Natura 2000 area (SAC or SPA). This part of Dublin is characterised by a mixture of rural and urban land uses, being close to the town of Newcastle, and significant road infrastructure, although there are also substantial areas of agricultural and other open space. The site itself lies directly adjacent to residential estates while agricultural land remains to the south and west. Mapping from the Ordnance Survey Ireland (OSI) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) show that a small water courses, the Cornerpark Stream running through the eastern portion of the site, in an area which is already built up. The www.wfdireland.net web site shows the lands to be across the catchments of the Griffeen and Liffey rivers. The River Liffey is subject to no Natura designations. At Dublin Bay, where it discharges to the Irish Sea, it is within a number of such areas however.

Construction phase aspects

The construction phase will involve the clearance of top soil and sub-soil and the loss of some hedgerow habitat. Any inert construction and demolition waste will be removed by a licenced contractor and disposed of in accordance with the Waste Management Act.

Operation phase aspects

Wastewater from the development will pass to the Ringsend wastewater treatment plant which serves the wider Dublin area, and which discharges treated effluent to the Irish Sea under licence from the EPA. In April 2019 Irish Water was granted planning permission to upgrade the Ringsend plant. This will see improved treatment standards and will increase network capacity by 50%, with a target completion date of 2022.

A new surface water drainage system is to be installed that will be separate from the foul system. The development will be divided into drainage catchments with each provided with attenuation storage and an oil/grit interceptor prior to discharge to the municipal surface water sewer. This ultimately discharges to the Cornerpark Stream, a tributary of the River Grifeen. The system will be fully compliant with the Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study and will utilise Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDS) to minimise the volume of surface water entering the sewer. This will include permeable paving, surface swales. In this way the run-off will be maintained at a 'greenfield' rate. These are standard measures which are included in all new projects and are not included here to avoid or reduce impacts to Natura 2000 areas. As such, attenuation/SUDS measures are not considered to be mitigation in an AA context.

Water will be supplied from a mains supply which originates from reservoirs at Ballymore Eustace, along the River Liffey. The reservoirs at Poulaphouca are designated as an SPA.

There are no point air emissions from the site while some dust and noise can be expected during the construction phase.

The operation phase will see the development occupied and this will bring with it human disturbance as well as noise and artificial light.



Figure 2 – Site boundary (in red line) and habitats of the subject lands




Figure 3 – proposed site layout

Step 3: Brief description of Natura 2000 sites

In assessing the zone of impact of this project upon Natura 2000 sites the following factors must be considered:

- Potential impacts arising from the project
- The location and nature of Natura 2000 sites
- Pathways between the development and the Natura 2000 network

It has already been stated that the site is not located within or directly adjacent to any Natura 2000 area. For projects of this nature an initial 15km radius is normally examined (IEA, 1995). This is an arbitrary distance however and impacts can occur at distances greater than this. This indicative area is shown in figure 4.




Figure 4 – Indicative 15km radius from the subject site showing SACs (tan) and SPAs (lime green) (from www.epa.ie).

As can be seen, there are a number of Natura areas within this radius. In addition, there are hydrological connections to the **South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA** (site code: 4024), the **South Dublin Bay SAC** (0210), the **North Dublin Bay SAC** (site code: 0206), the **North Bull Island SPA** (site code: 4006) and the **Poulaphouca Reservoir SPA** (site code:

4063). These are considered to be the only Natura 2000 areas within the zone of influence of the development as pathways do not exist to other areas.

Table 1 – Features of interest for SPAs in Dublin Bay (EU code in square parenthesis)

North Bull Island SPA	South Dublin Bay and Tolka Estuary SPA
Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046]	Light-bellied Brent Goose (<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>) [A046]
Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>) [A130]	Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>) [A130]
Teal (<i>Anas crecca</i>) [A052]	Ringed Plover (<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>) [A137]
Pintail (<i>Anas acuta</i>) [A054]	Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A140]
Shoveler (<i>Anas clypeata</i>) [A056]	Knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) [A143]
Shelduck (<i>Tadorna tadorna</i>) [A048]	Sanderling (<i>Calidris alba</i>) [A144]
Golden Plover (<i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>) [A140]	Dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina</i>) [A149]
Grey Plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) [A141]	Bar-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica</i>) [A157]
Knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) [A143]	Redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>) [A162]
Sanderling (<i>Calidris alba</i>) [A144]	Black-headed Gull (<i>Croicocephalus ridibundus</i>) [A179]
Dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina</i>) [A149]	Roseate Tern (<i>Sterna dougallii</i>) [A192]
Black-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa limosa</i>) [A156]	Common Tern (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>) [A193]
Bar-tailed Godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica</i>) [A157]	Arctic Tern (<i>Sterna paradisaea</i>) [A194]
Curlew (<i>Numenius arquata</i>) [A160]	Wetlands & Waterbirds [A999]
Redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>) [A162]	
Turnstone (<i>Arenaria interpres</i>) [A169]	
Black-headed Gull (<i>Larus ridibundus</i>) [A179]	
Wetlands & Waterbirds [A999]	

The **South Dublin Bay and Tolka Estuary SPA** (side code: 4024) is largely coincident with the South Dublin Bay SAC boundary with the exception of the Tolka Estuary. The **North Bull Island SPA** (site code: 0206) meanwhile is largely coincident with the North Dublin Bay SAC with the exception of the terrestrial portion of Bull Island. These designations encompass all of the intertidal areas in Dublin Bay from south of the Howth peninsula to the pier in

Dun Laoghaire. Wintering birds in particular are attracted to these areas in great number as they shelter from harsh conditions further north and avail of the available food supply within sands and soft sediments. Table 1 lists the features of interest for both of the SPAs. Species summaries are taken from Balmer et al (2013).

- **Light-bellied Brent Goose.** There has been a 67% increase in the distribution of this goose which winters throughout the Irish coast. The light-bellied subspecies found in Ireland breeds predominantly in the Canadian Arctic.
- **Sanderling.** This small bird breeds in the high Arctic and winters in Ireland along sandy beaches and sandbars. Its wintering distribution has increased by 21% in the previous 30 years.
- **Dunlin.** Although widespread and stable in number during the winter season, the Irish breeding population has collapsed by nearly 70% in 40 years. Breeding is now confined to just seven sites in the north and west as habitat in former nesting areas has been degraded.
- **Knot.** These small wading birds do not breed in Ireland but gather in coastal wetlands in winter. Their numbers have increased dramatically since the mid-1990s although the reasons for this are unclear.
- **Black-headed Gull.** Widespread and abundant in winter these gulls are nevertheless considered to be in decline. The reasons behind this are unclear but may relate to the loss of safe nesting sites, drainage, food depletion and increase predation.
- **Ringed Plover.** This bird is a common sight around the Irish coast where it is resident. They breed on stony beaches but also, more recently, on cut-away bog in the midlands.
- **Oystercatcher.** Predominantly coastal in habit Oystercatchers are resident birds whose numbers continue to expand in Ireland.
- **Bar-tailed Godwit.** These wetland wading birds do not breed in Ireland but are found throughout the littoral zone during winter months. They prefer estuaries where there are areas of soft mud and sediments on which to feed.
- **Grey Plover.** These birds do not breed in Ireland but winter throughout coastal estuaries and wetlands. Its population and distribution is considered to be stable.
- **Roseate Tern.** This tern breeds at only a few stations along Ireland's east coast. Most of these are in decline although at Dublin their colony is increasing.
- **Common Tern.** This summer visitor nests along the coast and on islands in the largest lakes. Its breeding range has halved in Ireland since the 1968-1972 period.
- **Arctic Tern.** These long-distance travellers predominantly breed in coastal areas of Ireland. They have suffered from predation by invasive mink and are declining in much of their range.
- **Redshank.** Once common breeders throughout the peatlands and wet grasslands of the midlands Redshanks have undergone a 55% decline in distribution in the past 40 years. Agricultural intensification, drainage of wetlands and predation are the chief drivers of this change.

- **Teal.** In winter this duck is widespread throughout the country. Land use change and drainage however have contributed to a massive decline in its breeding range over the past 40 years.
- **Pintail.** Dabbling duck wintering on grazing marshes, river floodplains, sheltered coasts and estuaries. It is a localised species and has suffered a small decline in distribution in Ireland for unknown reasons.
- **Shoveler.** Favoured wintering sites for this duck are inland wetlands and coastal estuaries. While there have been local shifts in population and distribution, overall their status is stable in Ireland.
- **Shelduck.** The largest of our ducks, Shelduck both breed and winter around the coasts with some isolate stations inland. Its population and range are considered stable.
- **Golden Plover.** In winter these birds are recorded across the midlands and coastal regions. They breed only in suitable upland habitat in the north-west. Wintering abundance in Ireland has changed little in recent years although it is estimated that half of its breeding range has been lost in the last 40 years.
- **Black-tailed Godwit.** Breeding in Iceland these waders winter in selected sites around the Irish coast, but predominantly to the east and southern halves. Their range here has increase substantially of late.
- **Curllew.** Still a common sight during winter at coastal and inland areas around the country its breeding population here has effectively collapsed. Their habitat has been affected by the destruction of peat bogs, afforestation, farmland intensification and land abandonment. Their wintering distribution also appears to be in decline.
- **Turnstone.** This winter visitor to Irish coasts favours sandy beaches, estuaries and rocky shores. It is found throughout the island but changes may be occurring due to climate change.

Bird counts from BirdWatch Ireland are taken from Dublin Bay as a whole and are not specific to any particular portion of the Bay. Dublin Bay is recognised as an internationally important site for water birds as it supports over 20,000 individuals.

There were also internationally important populations of particular birds recorded in Dublin Bay (i.e. over 1% of the world population): Light-bellied brent geese *Branta bernicula hrota*; Black-tailed godwit *Limosa limosa*; Knot *Calidris canutus* and Bar-tailed godwit *L. lapponica*.

The **South Dublin Bay SAC** (side code: 0210) is concentrated on the intertidal area of Sandymount Strand. It has four qualifying interests: mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide (1140), annual vegetation of drift lines (1210), Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand (1310) and Embryonic shifting dunes (2110).

- **Annual vegetation of drift lines (1210)** This habitat of the upper shore is characterised by raised banks of pebbles and stones. They are inhabited by a sparse but unique assemblage of plants, some of which are very rare. The principle pressures are listed as gravel extraction, the building of pipelines and coastal defences.

- **Embryonic shifting dunes (2110)**. As their name suggests these sand structures represent the start of a sand dune's life. Perhaps only a meter high they are a transient habitat, vulnerable to inundation by the sea, or developing further into white dunes with Marram Grass. They are threatened by recreational uses, coastal defences, trampling and erosion.
- **Tidal mudflats (1140)**. This is an intertidal habitat characterised by fine silt and sediment. Most of the area in Ireland is of favourable status however water quality and fishing activity, including aquaculture, are negatively affecting some areas.
- **Salicornia mudflats (1310)**: This is a pioneer saltmarsh community and so is associated with intertidal areas. It is dependent upon a supply of fresh, bare mud and can be promoted by damage to other salt marsh habitats. It is chiefly threatened by the advance of the alien invasive Cordgrass *Spartina anglica*. Erosion can be destructive but in many cases this is a natural process.

The **North Dublin Bay SAC** (site code: 0206) is focussed on the sand spit on the North Bull island. The qualifying interests for it are shown in table 2. The status of the habitat is also given and this is an assessment of its range, area, structure and function, and future prospects on a national level and not within the SAC itself.

Table 2 – Qualifying interests for the North Dublin Bay SAC

Habitat/Species	Status ¹
Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide	Inadequate
Salicornia and other annuals colonizing mud and sand	Favourable
Atlantic salt meadows	Inadequate
Mediterranean salt meadows	Inadequate
Annual vegetation of drift lines	Inadequate
Embryonic shifting dunes	Inadequate
Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (white dunes)	Inadequate
Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes)	Bad
Humid dune slacks	Inadequate
<i>Petalophyllum ralfsii</i> Petalwort	Favourable

- **Shifting dunes along the shoreline with *Ammophila arenaria* (white dunes) (2120)**. These are the second stage in dune formation and depend upon the stabilising effects of Marram Grass. The presence of the grass traps additional sand, thus growing the dunes. They are threatened by erosion, climate change, coastal flooding and built development.

¹ NPWS. 2019. *The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland*. Habitat Assessments Volume 1. Unpublished Report, National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

- **Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes) (2130).** These are more stable dune systems, typically located on the landward side of the mobile dunes. They have a more or less permanent, and complete covering of vegetation, the quality of which depends on local hydrology and grazing regimes. They are the most endangered of the dune habitat types and are under pressure from built developments such as golf courses and caravan parks, over-grazing, under-grazing and invasive species.
- **Humid dune slacks (2190).** These are wet, nutrient enriched (relatively) depressions that are found between dune ridges. During winter months or wet weather these can flood and water levels are maintained by a soil layer or saltwater intrusion in the groundwater. There are found around the coast within the larger dune systems.
- **Petalwort (1395).** There are 30 extant populations of this small green liverwort, predominantly along the Atlantic seaboard but also with one in Dublin. It grows within sand dune systems and can attain high populations locally.

At its nearest point the **Poulaphouca Reservoir SPA** (site code: 4063) is located approximately 25km from the site of the proposed development. Its 'features of interest' include the Greylag Goose *Anser anser* and the Lesser Black-backed Gull *Larus fuscus*.

The **Glenasmole Valley SAC** (code: 1209) is the flooded valley of the Dodder river, dammed to provide drinking water for the city of Dublin, and covering an area of nearly 150ha. Woodland has developed around its margins while species-rich grassland is to be found on some of its slopes. A number of rare plants species, including a variety of orchids, are to be found here.

The SAC is designated only for protected habitat types and these are given in table 3.

Table 3 – Qualifying interests for the Glenasmole Valley SAC

Code	Habitats	Status
6210	Orchid rich grassland/Calcareous grassland	Bad
6410	Molinea meadows	Bad
7220	Petrifying springs (priority habitat)	Inadequate

- **Orchid-rich grassland (6210)** This is a species rich grassland habitat found on well drained calcareous soils. It must be important for orchids in order to fall into this category. While there is evidence that an increased occurrence of flooding on some sites may be having a detrimental effect the principle threats listed are from agricultural intensification and 'stock feeding', i.e. overgrazing.
- **Molinea meadows (6410)** *Molinea caerulea*, the Purple Moor-grass, is typically associated with upland peatland habitats but this habit type occurs on lowland sites associated with traditional agricultural practices.

The main threats that it faces are associated with changes in land use, e.g. land abandonment or intensification.

- **Petrifying Springs (7220):** These are very localised habitats that arise from the precipitation of excess calcium carbonate in supersaturated running water. They are associated with characteristic bryophytes. They are vulnerable to changes in water quality, flow regime and intensification of land use practices (NPWS, 2013). Determining if significant effects are likely to occur to any of these SACs or SPAs must be measured against their 'conservation objectives'. Specific conservation objectives have been set for all of these areas with the exception of the Poulaphouca Reservoir. Generic conservation objectives have been published by the NPWS and are stated as:

Wicklow Mountains SAC & SPA (site codes: 2122 & 4040)

Wicklow Mountains is a large area and is designated as both an SAC and SPA as well as being a National Park. It is an upland area underlain with granite and is an important amenity and recreational area, as well as being of high conservation value. Its qualifying interests are shown in table 4 while its 'features of interest' are given as Merlin *Falco columbarius* (breeding) and Peregrine *Falco peregrinus* (breeding).

Table 4 – Qualifying interests for the Wicklow Mountains SAC (site code: 4040)

Code	Habitats	Status
7130	Active Blanket bog	Bad
4010	Atlantic wet heath	Bad
4030	European dry heath	Bad
91A0	Old oak woodland	Bad
8220	Siliceous rocky slopes	Inadequate
8210	Calcareous rocky slopes	Inadequate
8110	Siliceous scree	Inadequate
4060	Alpine and Boreal heath	Bad
3160	Natural dystrophic lakes	Inadequate
3110	Oligotrophic lakes	Bad
6230	Species rich <i>Nardus</i> grassland	Bad

- **Active Blanket Bog (7130)** This is a very widespread habitat in Ireland found on uplands and lowlands along the Atlantic seaboard. Active blanket bog is peat forming, principally indicating the presence of *Sphagnum* sp. mosses but also other species. Degraded bog, where there is now forestry or bare peat, are excluded as they are not considered 'active'.
- **Atlantic wet heath (4010)** This is a heather dominant habitat that is intermediate between dry heath and blanket bog, and is frequently found in association with these two. Grazing and trampling by sheep is identified as the greatest threat to the status of the habitat but non-native invasive species such as *Rhododendron* and the moss *Campylopus introflexus* also impact negatively upon the habitat.

- **Dry heath (4030):** This is a community of heather shrubs that occurs on well-drained, acidic, nutrient-poor mineral or peaty soils. Pressures on this habitat arise from high levels of sheep grazing, as well as afforestation, mining and quarrying. Unregulated burning is also identified as an important threat to the structure of this habitat.
- **Old Oak Woodlands (91A0):** This native woodland type is typified by Sessile Oak *Quercus patrea*, Holly *Ilex aquifolium* and Hard Fern *Blechnum spicant*. Its range is much reduced from historic levels while the principle threats are alien invasive species and overgrazing by deer but also cattle, goats and sheep.
- **Calcareous or Siliceous Rocky Slopes (8210 & 8220)** These are vertical or near vertical slopes of calcareous or siliceous rock with cracks and fissures that are home to unique communities of plants. Climate change is considered to be the greatest threat where specialist arctic-alpine plants are to be found.
- **Siliceous Scree (8110)** This is a mountainous habitat characterised by expanses of shattered siliceous rock from small, mobile stones to stable boulders. Vegetation is sparse and frequently dominated by moss or lichen communities.
- **Alpine and Boreal Heath (4060)** This habitat occurs on exposed mountain tops with acid substrate where stunted growths of heather are found. It is also found in the Burren, Co. Clare at low altitudes.
- **Dystrophic lakes (3160)** These are naturally low oxygen, nutrient poor, acid lakes that occur in association with peatland habitats. They have low species diversity but some of these species are uniquely associated with this habitat.
- **Lowland Oligotrophic lakes (3110).** These are lowland lakes with very low nutrient input and frequently associated with acidic bedrock (e.g. granite or old red sandstone). Ireland is a stronghold for the habitat but is under significant pressure from eutrophication and peatland damage.
- **Species-rich Nardus grassland (6230 – priority habitat).** Mat-grass *Nardus stricta* that is found on siliceous (acid) soils in areas of high rainfall. It is associated with mineral flushes in upland districts.

Rye Water Valley/Carton SAC (site code: 1398)

The Rye Water is a tributary of the Liffey and the SAC boundary stretches from east of Maynooth as far as Leixlip village. It flows through the Carton demesne which is wooded with specimen native and non-native trees. The river is dammed in a number of locations and this has created a series of small lakes. The SAC covers an area of nearly 73 ha.

The reasons why this area falls under the SAC designation are set out in the qualifying interests. They are either habitat types listed in Annex I or species listed in Annex II of the Habitats Directive. This information is provided by the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) and is shown in table 5 below. The status provided refers to the status of the habitat or species at a national level and not necessarily within the SAC.

Table 5 – Qualifying interests for the Rye Water/Carnton SAC

Code	Habitats/Species	Status
7220	Petrifying springs with Tufa formation	Inadequate
1014	Narrow-mouthed whorl snail <i>Vertigo angustior</i>	Inadequate
1016	Desmoulin's whorl snail <i>Vertigo moulinsiana</i>	Inadequate

- **Petrifying Springs (7220 – priority habitat):** These are very localised habitats that arise from the precipitation of excess calcium carbonate in supersaturated running water. They are associated with characteristic bryophytes. They are vulnerable to changes in water quality, flow regime and intensification of land use practices.
- **Narrow-mouthed Whorl Snail (1014).** This whorl snail is present in a wide variety of habitats from dunes and coastal grasslands, to fens, salt-marshes and floodplains. The principle threats to its habitat derives from undergrazing and overgrazing.
- **Desmoulin's Whorl Snail (1016)** is a tiny mollusc that is particularly sensitive to changes in water level. It occurs in swamps, fens and marshes. The greatest threats have been drainage of wetlands and riparian management of canals.

Whether any of these SACs or SPAs is likely to be affected must be measured against their 'conservation objectives'. Specific conservation objectives have been set for all of these areas with the exception of the Poulaphouca Reservoir SPA, Glenasmole Valley SAC, Wicklow Mountains SPA and the Rye Water/Carnton SAC. Generic conservation objectives have been published by the NPWS and are stated as:

To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the Annexed species for which the SPA has been selected.

In a generic sense 'favourable conservation status' of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long - term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

While the 'favourable conservation status' of a species is achieved when:

- population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long - term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and
- the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and

- there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long - term basis.

Specific conservation objectives have been set for mudflats in the South Dublin Bay SAC (NPWS, 2013) and for all qualifying interests the North Dublin Bay SAC (NPWS, 2013). The objectives relate to habitat area, community extent, community structure and community distribution within the qualifying interest. There is no objective in relation to water quality.

For the South Dublin Bay & Tolka Estuary SPA and the North Bull Island SPA the conservations objectives for each bird species relates to maintaining a population trend that is stable or increasing and maintaining the current distribution in time and space (NPWS, 2015a & b).

For the Poulaphouca Reservoir SPA, generic conservation objectives have been published by the NPWS and are as previously stated above (NPWS, 2018).

Data collected to carry out the assessment

The site visit has shown that habitats on the site are not associated with any of the habitats listed in table 1 or species listed in table 2 or which are suitable for roosting wetland birds.

There is a hydrological link between the site and Natura 200 areas in Dublin Bay. The EU's Water Framework Directive (WFD) stipulates that all water bodies were to have attained 'good ecological status' by 2015. This includes estuarine waters and Dublin Bay is located within the Eastern River Basin District. In 2009 a management plan was published to address pollution issues and includes a 'programme of measures' which must be completed. This plan was approved in 2010 (ERBD, 2010). The Tolka Estuary has most recently (2014) been assessed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as 'potentially eutrophic' – a term which implies moderate pollution either from point or diffuse sources (from www.epa.ie). It was assessed as 'moderate' in terms of its status under the Water Framework Directive for the 2010-15 reporting period. This classification indicates that water quality in the estuary is of an insufficient standard to meet the requirements of the WFD. Measures must therefore be taken in the coming years to address existing problems and any new developments within the catchment must not contribute to the pollution loading. The status of the coastal water in Dublin Bay meanwhile is 'good'.

The Griffeen and the Liffey rivers are a part of the Liffey Water Management Unit and the majority of this river length was assessed as satisfactory (good or high) in 2010 according to the Programme of Measures in the ERBD Management Plan. This report suggests that main pressures on water quality are from abstractions, physical modifications and wastewater discharges. The stretch of the Griffeen River from its source to its confluence with the River

Liffey has been classified as 'moderate' under the Water Framework Directive (WFD) reporting period 2010-15 (from www.epa.ie). The status of the Liffey system in this region is 'good' until reaching Celbridge, whereupon it deteriorates for the remainder of its course. These assessments are 'unsatisfactory' and so remedial measures will be required to restore 'good ecological status', something that was due by 2015.

In 2018 a second RBMP was published which identified 190 'priority areas for action' where resources are to be focussed over the 2018-2021 period. This includes a number of tributaries of the Liffey including the Lyreen, the Dodder and the Tolka.

Details from the NPWS site synopsis report and the most recent data from BirdWatch Ireland's Wetlands Bird Survey (IWeBS) (Crowe et al., 2011) indicate that Dublin Bay is of international importance for wintering birds meaning that it regularly holds a population of over 20,000 birds. Total counts from IWeBS are shown in table 2.

Of the species listed in table 1 six: Curlew, Dunlin, Redshank, Pintail, Shoveler and Black-headed Gull are listed as of high conservation concern, and on BirdWatch Ireland's red list (Colhoun & Cummins, 2013).

- Dunlins do not breed on the east coast of Ireland while their winter range, which includes a number of coastal and wetland areas across the country, has declined by over 50% between 1994/5 and 2008/09. The reason for this decline is unclear.
- Wintering Redshank numbers in Ireland have changed little since the early 1980s while their breeding sites, based around wetlands west of the River Shannon and some eastern coastal areas, has fallen by 55% in 40 years. This can be attributed to habitat loss from agricultural intensification and drainage.
- Black-headed Gulls remain a frequent winter presence and their red listing relates to their breeding status only. This has seen a 55% decline in 40 years for reasons which are not clear but may relate to loss of nesting sites, predation, food depletion or drainage. They are not recorded as breeding in the Dublin area (Balmer et al., 2013).

A 'supporting document' has been published by the NPWS which gives a detailed assessment of the features of interest for which SPAs in Dublin Bay have been designated (NPWS, 2014). In particular it presents information on the trends of these features and the pressures which are likely to affect these trends. It has determined that five species: Grey Plover, Shelduck, Pintail, Shoveler, Golden Plover and Black-headed Gull, are of unfavourable status while the remainder are 'favourable'. In the case of the Grey Plover it was found that its population trend is decreasing both within Dublin Bay and at an all-Ireland level. For this reason, it is reasonable to assume that the factors for its decline are not unique to Dublin Bay. The Black-headed Gull population was not assessed in this way. Only for Shoveler is it considered that significant declines are being experienced due to conditions in Dublin Bay.

Of relevance to this study this report highlights that poor water quality has long been an issue in Dublin Bay. This was manifest in macroalgal blooms of brown and green algae, particularly around Bull Island and the Tolka Estuary. Some improvements in the trophic status has occurred since the 1990s, particularly as a result of new wastewater treatment facilities at Ringsend in 2003. On-going improvements to water quality are highlighted as a potential risk to certain bird populations as a reduction in primary production (i.e. food for birds) may arise both as densities of invertebrates and algal mats is reduced.

Step 4: The Assessment of Significance of Effects

Describe how the project or plan (alone or in combination) is likely to affect the Natura 2000 site.

In order for an effect to occur there must be a pathway between the source (the development site) and the receptor (the SAC or SPA). Where a pathway does not exist an impact cannot occur.

The proposed development is not located within, or adjacent to, any SAC or SPA.

Habitat Loss

The site is approximately 20km from the boundary of Natura 200 areas in Dublin Bay as the crow flies but following the flow of the River Liffey this distance is significantly greater. Because of this distance separating the two areas there is no pathway for loss or disturbance of species listed in table 1 or other semi-natural habitats that may act as ecological corridors for important species associated with the qualifying interests of the Natura 2000 sites.

Pollution

There is a pathway from the site via surface and wastewater water flows to Dublin Bay via the Ringsend wastewater treatment plant and the River Liffey. As surface water from the site does not flow to the River Tolka there is no pathway between the site and the Tolka Estuary.

A. Pollution from wastewater

The plant at Ringsend is licenced to discharge treated effluent to the Irish Sea by the EPA (licence no.: D0034-01). The Annual Environmental Report (AER) for 2017 (the most recent) shows that the average loading was in excess this capacity while the standard of effluent was not compliant with emission limit values set under the Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive. Monitoring of the receiving water (the Irish Sea) takes place at points upstream and downstream of the discharge point. Water quality in Dublin Bay meanwhile is 'good'.

While the issues at Ringsend wastewater treatment plant are being dealt with in the medium term evidence suggests that some nutrient enrichment is benefiting wintering birds for which SPAs have been designated in Dublin Bay (Nairn & O'Hallaran eds, 2012). Additional loading to this plant arising from the operation of this project are not considered to be significant as there is no evidence that pollution through nutrient input is affecting the conservation objectives of the South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA.

B. Pollution from surface water

The installation of surface water attenuation measures will ensure that there will be no negative impact to water quality or quantity arising from the change in land use from agricultural to residential. This measure is not included in order to avoid or reduce potential impacts to Natura 2000 areas and so this is not considered to be a mitigation measure in an AA context.

C. Pollution during construction

During the site clearance and construction phase the risk of sediment entering water courses, entrained in rain run-off is low as there are no water courses in this vicinity. This effect is not considered significant. This is due to the fact that sediment is not a pollutant in coastal habitats in the way it is in rivers (where it can foul fish spawning beds).

Disturbance to birds

The site is too far from bird roosting areas in Dublin Bay to result in impacts from noise or other forms of human disturbance. There is no evidence that disturbance effects of this nature are negatively affecting features of interest (i.e. bird species) from these sources.

Amenity use

The development is not likely to affect amenity use at Natura 2000 sites due to the location of the development. Amenity open space is provided for on the site as part of the project design. There are no pathways to other Natura 2000 sites.

Are there other projects or plans that together with the project or plan being assessed could affect the site?

Eventual implementation of the WFD will result in overall improvements to water quality throughout the Liffey catchment although these targets have not been met by the 2015 deadline.

Environmental water quality can be impacted by the effects of surface water run-off from areas of hard standing. These impacts are particularly pronounced in urban areas and can include pollution from particulate matter and hydrocarbon residues, and downstream erosion from accelerated flows during flood events (Mason, 1996). There will no impact to surface water quality and quantity from this development.

Some land use change has occurred in this vicinity in the past decade and which has seen agricultural land converted to built development. This can

impact upon biodiversity through disturbance effects and the in combination impact of water pollution. Impacts to water quality arising from this project have been assessed and are not predicted to result in pollution.

Water quality in Dublin Bay can be influenced by multiple sources of effluent including diffuse run-off from agriculture or one-off houses. Substantial point sources also exist, particularly from the wastewater treatment plants at Leixlip (the Lower Liffey Regional Sewerage Scheme which also discharges to the Liffey) and Obsestown. These plants are currently compliant with their discharge licences.

Despite compliance issues at Ringsend, evidence suggests that some nutrient enrichment in coastal estuaries is benefiting wintering birds for which SPAs have been designated in Dublin Bay (Nairn & O'Hallaran eds, 2012).

The additional loading from this project to the Ringsend plant will contribute to capacity issues at that plant however it is not considered to be significant based on a number of points:

1. There is no evidence that pollution through nutrient input is affecting the conservation objectives of the South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA.
2. In February 2018 Irish Water announced proposals to upgrade the Ringsend plant and apply for planning permission for a new plant in north County Dublin. This will see improved treatment standards and will increase network capacity by 50%, with a target completion date of 2023.

There are no further effects which can act in combination with other similar effects, to result in significant effects to the SAC or SPAs in question.

List of agencies consulted

A request for nature conservation observations was sent to the Development Applications Unit of the Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht. A response had not been received at the time of issuing this report.

Conclusion and Finding of No Significant Effects

Mitigation in an AA context is given as any measure which is introduced in order to avoid or reduce an impact to a Natura 2000 area. In this case no mitigation measures are suggested during either the construction or operation phases.

This project has been screened for AA under the appropriate methodology. It has found that significant effects are not likely to arise, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects to the Natura 2000 network. This conclusion is based on best scientific knowledge.

References

- Balmer D.E., Gillings S., Caffrey B.J., Swann R.L., Downie I.S., Fuller R.J.** 2013. *Bird Atlas 2007-11: the breeding and wintering birds of Britain and Ireland*. BTO Books, Thetford, UK.
- Bell J.N.B. & Treshow M.** 2002. *Air Pollution and Plant Life*. 2nd Edition. Wiley.
- Bullock C., Kretch C. & Candon E.** 2008. *The Economic and Social Aspects of Biodiversity*. Stationary Office.
- Cabot D.** 2004. *Irish Birds*. Collins.
- Clabby, K.J., Bradley, C., Craig, M., Daly, D., Lucey, J., McGarrigle, M., O'Boyle, S., Tierney, D. and Bowman, J.** 2008. *Water Quality in Ireland 2004 – 2006*. EPA.
- Colhoun K. & Cummins S.** 2013. *Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland 2014 – 2019*. Irish Birds. Volume 9 Number 4 pg523-541.
- Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds.
- Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora
- Council Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a framework for the Community action in the field of water policy – more commonly known as the Water Framework Directive
- Crowe O., Boland H., & Walsh A.** 2011. *Irish Wetland Bird Survey: results of waterbird monitoring in Ireland in 2009/10*. Irish Birds Volume 9 Number 2 pg229-240.
- Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.** 2011. *Actions for Biodiversity 2011 – 2016. Ireland's National Biodiversity Plan*.
- Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government.** 2009. *Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland. Guidance for Planning Authorities'*
- European Union.** 2013. *The Economic benefits of the Natura 2000 Network*. Publications Office of the European Union, 2013.
- Eastern River Basin District.** 2010. *River Basin Management Plan 2009 – 2015*.

Fossitt J. 2000. *A Guide to Habitats in Ireland*. Heritage Council.

Foulkes N., Fuller J., Little D., McCourt S. & Murphy P. 2013. *Hedgerow Appraisal System - Best Practise Guidance on Hedgerow Survey, Data Collation and Appraisal*. Woodlands of Ireland, Dublin. Unpublished Report [pdf].

Francis, C.D. et al. 2012. *Noise pollution alters ecological services: Enhanced pollination and disrupted seed dispersal*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B. doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0230.

Hundt L. 2012. *Bat Surveys: Good Practice Guidelines. 2nd Edition*. Bat Conservation Trust.

Lack P. 1986. *The Atlas of Wintering Birds in Britain and Ireland*. T&AD Poyser.

Monaghan S., Shannon D., Wall B. & O'Leary G. 2012. *Focus on Urban Waste Water Discharges in Ireland*. Environmental Protection Agency.

Nairn R. & O'Halloran J. Editors. 2012. *Bird Habitats in Ireland*. The Collins Press.

NPWS. 2013. *Site Synopsis. South Dublin Bay SAC (Site Code: 0210)*. National Parks and Wildlife Service.

NPWS. 2008. *Site Synopsis. South Dublin Bay and Tolka Estuary SPA (Site Code: 4024)*. National Parks and Wildlife Service.

NPWS. 2013a. *The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Habitat Assessments Volume 2. Version 1.0*. Unpublished Report, National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

NPWS. 2013b. *Conservation Objectives: South Dublin Bay SAC 00210. Version 1*. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS. 2014. *North Bull Island Special Protection Area (Site Code 4006) & South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary Special Protection Area (Site Code 4024). Conservation Objectives Supporting Document VERSION 1*

NPWS. 2015a. *Conservation Objectives: South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA 004024. Version 1*. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.

NPWS .2016b. *Conservation objectives for Poulaphouca Reservoir SPA [004063]. Generic Version 4.0.* Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht

Oxford Brookes University. 2001. *Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites. Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC.* European Commission, Environment DG.